

munity and the public of the need to subject beliefs based on limited or local experience to the unblinking objectivity of the scientific method.

JAMES F. TOOLE, MD
*Director, Stroke Center
 Professor of Neurology
 and Public Health Sciences
 Bowman Gray School of Medicine
 Winston-Salem, North Carolina*

REFERENCES

1. Moore WS: Carotid endarterectomy for prevention of stroke. *West J Med* 1993; 159:37-43
2. Stewart BM: International symposium on the surgical treatment of carotid and vertebral artery insufficiency. *Can Med Assoc J* 1965; 93:725
3. Crawford ES, DeBakey ME, Morris GC Jr, Howell JF: Surgical treatment of occlusion of the innominate, common carotid, and subclavian arteries: A 10 year experience. *Surgery* 1969; 65:17-31
4. Bauer RB, Meyer JS, Fields WS, Remington R, Macdonald MC, Callen P: Joint study of extracranial arterial occlusion—III. Progress report of controlled study of long-term survival in patients with and without operation. *JAMA* 1969; 208:509-518
5. Heyman A, Young WG Jr, Brown IW Jr, Grimson KS: Long-term results of endarterectomy of the internal carotid artery for cerebral ischemia and infarction. *Circulation* 1967; 36:212-221
6. Easton JD, Sherman DG: Stroke and mortality rate in carotid endarterectomy: 228 consecutive operations. *Stroke* 1977; 8:565-568
7. Hass WS: An approach to the maximal acceptable stroke complication rate after surgery for transient cerebral ischemia (TIA) (Abstr). *Stroke* 1979; 10:104
8. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators: Beneficial effect of carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. *N Engl J Med* 1991; 325:445-453
9. Rothwell PM, Warlow CP: The European Carotid Surgery Trial (ECST). In Greenhalgh RM, Hollier LH (Eds): *Surgery for Stroke*. London, WB Saunders, 1993, pp 369-381
10. Fields WS, Remington RD: Progress report of the Joint Study of Extracranial Arterial Occlusion. In Toole JF, Siekert RG, Whisnant JP (Eds): *Cerebral Vascular Diseases: Transactions of the Sixth Conference*, Princeton, New Jersey. New York, NY, Grune & Stratton, 1968, pp 260-268
11. Baker RN, Broward JA, Fang HC, et al: Anticoagulant therapy in cerebral infarction—Report on cooperative study. *Neurology* 1962; 12:823
12. Hofman A, Vandenbroucke JP: Geoffrey Rose's big idea—Changing the population distribution of a risk factor is better than targeting people at high risk. *BMJ* 1992; 305:1519-1520
13. Doll R: Sir Austin Bradford Hill and the progress of medical science. *BMJ* 1992; 305:1521-1526
14. European Carotid Surgery Trialists' Collaborative Group: MRC European Carotid Surgery Trials: Interim results for symptomatic patients with severe (70-99%) or with mild (0-29%) carotid stenosis. *Lancet* 1991; 337:1235-1243
15. Fox AJ: How to measure carotid stenosis. *Radiology* 1993; 186:316-318
16. Hobson RW, Weiss DG, Fields WS, et al and the Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Group: Efficacy of carotid endarterectomy for asymptomatic carotid stenosis. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 328:221-227
17. The Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study Group: Study design for randomized prospective trial of carotid endarterectomy for asymptomatic atherosclerosis. *Stroke* 1989; 20:844-849
18. Toole JF, Hobson RW II, Howard VJ, Chambless LE: Nearing the finish line? The Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study. *Stroke* 1992; 23:1054-1055
19. Howard G, Chambless LE, Baker WH, et al: A multicenter validation study of Doppler ultrasound versus angiography. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 1991; 1:166-173
20. Howard VJ, Grizzle J, Diener HC, Hobson RW II, Mayberg M, Toole JF: Comparison of multicenter study designs for investigation of carotid endarterectomy efficacy. *Stroke* 1992; 23:583-593

A Scientific Basis for Neurologic Rehabilitation

MEDICAL REHABILITATION in the United States was developed at the end of the 19th century by DeForest Willard, MD, an orthopedic surgeon at the Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania. Major expansions of the field have occurred during wartime, especially World War II, in response to the needs of the many soldiers with limb amputations and traumatic injuries of the nervous system. Rehabilitation medicine stressed the need for patients to develop the maximum attainable degree of self-reliance

and psychological acceptance of irreversible impairments. The results were of profound benefit to patients, who might otherwise have returned to lives of helplessness and despair. Rehabilitation medicine also influenced other fields, both within and outside of medicine, to take a more humanistic attitude toward the treatment of patients and a broader view of the capabilities of persons with disabilities. But the clinical and research directions of the field became restricted largely to ameliorating symptoms rather than toward attacks on the pathophysiologic mechanisms of nervous system injury. It was recognized that patients suffering stroke or head injury, and some patients with partial spinal cord injuries, can have dramatic functional recoveries, but the mechanisms underlying these recoveries were not known. Many supposed that functional recovery in the first week or two resulted from the resolution of edema, whereas subsequent recovery was due either to behavioral adaptations or to the assumption of lost functions by spared regions of the nervous system. Axons of the central nervous system were thought to be incapable of regeneration. The control of edema became the subject of many therapeutic trials involving corticosteroids, hypothermia, and other modalities. These showed limited success and, in any case, were deemed in the realm of acute-care medicine and not part of the mission of rehabilitation medicine. Theories of delayed recovery suggested that retraining by passive and active manipulations was the logical therapeutic approach, and this became the focus of most rehabilitation programs, as reflected in the name "Physical Medicine."

The scientific investigative scope of the responses of the nervous system to injury has greatly expanded in the past two decades. This should now bring about a reconsideration of the clinical and academic mission of the field of rehabilitation medicine. The article by Bruce Dobkin, MD, in this issue of the journal reviews the progress that has been made in determining the mechanisms of motor recovery following traumatic or ischemic injury to the central nervous system and in devising methods to enhance this recovery.¹ Dr Dobkin notes that whereas evaluations of traditional models of rehabilitation have not documented superiority of one method over another, the application of rehabilitation techniques based on physiologic or pharmacologic studies in animals has yielded some functional improvements in stroke patients and in patients with incomplete spinal cord injuries. These and other approaches that involve manipulations of the injured nervous system by physical, electrical, or pharmacologic interventions are aimed largely at enhancing the activity of spared or partially injured neuronal pathways. They are important to the mission of rehabilitation because, together with behavioral, educational, and sociologic interventions, they have the potential to lead relatively rapidly to improvements in the quality of life for persons with neurologic disabilities. But it would be a mistake to confine the scientific basis for neurologic rehabilitation to such approaches.

Dobkin's review also mentions recent advances in understanding the factors that prevent the regeneration of in-

jured pathways in the mammalian nervous system and the attempts to enhance regeneration by methods aimed at neutralizing these factors. These avenues of investigation must become an integral part of the scientific basis of rehabilitation medicine. Because they are targeted at a reconstitution of the normal anatomic substrate of neurologic function, they have the potential for a far greater therapeutic benefit and should be viewed as a long-term investment for the field of neurologic rehabilitation.² The payoff for these approaches is probably not as far off as most have assumed. More than a decade ago, Aguayo and colleagues in Montreal, Quebec, used modern tract tracing techniques to reemphasize that axons of brain and spinal cord neurons have the capacity to regenerate if given an appropriate environment, such as a graft of peripheral nerve.³ More recently, this group has demonstrated the ability of optic nerve axons to regenerate for long distances in peripheral nerve bridges and to enter the brain, making electrically active synapses with neurons in the optic tectum.⁴ The discovery of molecules on the surfaces of oligodendrocytes (the myelin-producing cells of the central nervous system) that cause the collapse of growing tips of regenerating axons⁵ has led directly to experimental procedures to enhance regeneration around a partial spinal cord injury through the use of antibodies specific for those inhibitory molecules.⁶ Even the role of glial scarring in inhibiting the regeneration of injured axons is being elucidated with the demonstration that reactive glia secrete proteoglycan molecules that repel growing axons.⁷ Enzymatic digestion of these proteoglycans neutralizes their inhibitory action in vitro,⁸ a finding that should soon lead to attempts to enhance regeneration in vivo. Finally, experimental success in transplanting fetal nervous system tissue into the mature central nervous system in animals has already led to therapeutic trials in which fetal dopaminergic neurons have been introduced into the brains of patients with Parkinson's disease, with early promising results.⁹ There is no evidence that the transplanted cells make synaptic connections with host neurons, and it seems likely that they are acting as a semiglandular source of dopamine rather than as participants in a specific neuronal circuit. Fetal transplants are now being tested in experimental spinal cord injury.¹⁰ There has as yet been no evidence for the recovery of lost functions, but when transplanted into neonatal rats and cats, the fetal spinal cord tissue may enhance the development of locomotion.^{11,12}

The failure thus far for axonal regeneration to result in the recovery of lost functions should not lead to an abandonment of attempts to further enhance regeneration. The problem may well be largely with the quantity of regen-

erating axons, which to date has been a small fraction of those injured. Experiments in lower vertebrates, such as lampreys, where growth-inhibiting factors appear to be absent, suggest that axonal regeneration in the spinal cord is specific with regard to both path finding¹³ and synaptic reconnection.¹⁴ Thus, if a greater number of axons could be induced to regenerate in the mammalian central nervous system, they might show a tendency to make appropriate connections, which could lead to the restoration of useful function.

These are exciting developments that are ready for intensive follow-up. The prestige of rehabilitation medicine has long suffered from the impression that its scientific base is weak and that its goals are too restricted. Expansion of the research mission into the areas of morphologic as well as physiologic plasticity in the nervous system is the kind of long-term investment that can rejuvenate the field and attract creative minds to it.

MICHAEL E. SELZER, MD, PhD
*Professor of Neurology and
 Rehabilitation Medicine
 Director, Center for Neurologic
 Rehabilitation
 University of Pennsylvania
 Medical Center
 Philadelphia*

REFERENCES

1. Dobkin BH: Neuroplasticity—Key to recovery after central nervous system injury. *West J Med* 1993; 159:56-60
2. Selzer M: Neurological rehabilitation. *Ann Neurol* 1992; 32:695-699
3. David S, Aguayo AJ: Axonal elongation into peripheral nervous system 'bridges' after central nervous system injury in adult rats. *Science* 1981; 214:931-933
4. Aguayo AJ, Rasminsky M, Bray GM, et al: Degenerative and regenerative responses of injured neurons in the central nervous system of adult mammals. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond [Biol]* 1991; 331:337-343
5. Caroni P, Schwab ME: Two membrane protein fractions from rat central myelin with inhibitory properties for neurite growth and fibroblast spreading. *J Cell Biol* 1988; 106:1281-1288
6. Schnell L, Schwab ME: Axonal regeneration in the rat spinal cord produced by an antibody against myelin-associated neurite growth inhibitors. *Nature* 1990; 343:269-272
7. McKeon RJ, Schreiber RC, Rudge JS, Silver J: Reduction of neurite outgrowth in a model of glial scarring following CNS injury is correlated with the expression of inhibitory molecules on reactive astrocytes. *J Neurosci* 1991; 11:3398-3411
8. Snow DM, Lemmon V, Carrino DA, Caplan AI, Silver J: Sulfated proteoglycans in astroglial barriers inhibit neurite outgrowth in vitro. *Exp Neurol* 1990; 109:111-130
9. Lindvall O, Widner H, Rehnström S, et al: Transplantation of fetal dopamine neurons in Parkinson's disease: One-year clinical and neurophysiological observations in two patients with putaminal implants. *Ann Neurol* 1992; 31:155-165
10. Tessler A: Intraspinal transplants. *Ann Neurol* 1991; 29:115-123
11. Kunkel-Bagden E, Bregman BS: Spinal cord transplants enhance the recovery of locomotor function after spinal cord injury at birth. *Exp Brain Res* 1990; 81:25-34
12. Howland DR, Bregman BS, Tessler A, Goldberger ME: Anatomical and behavioral effects of transplants in spinal kittens. *Soc Neurosci Abstr* 1991; 17:236
13. Yin HS, Mackler SA, Selzer ME: Directional specificity in the regeneration of lamprey spinal axons. *Science* 1984; 224:894-896
14. Mackler SA, Selzer ME: Specificity of synaptic regeneration in the spinal cord of the larval sea lamprey. *J Physiol (Lond)* 1987; 388:183-198